

A SMALL NORMAL GENERATING SET FOR THE HANDLEBODY SUBGROUP OF THE TORELLI GROUP

GENKI OMORI

ABSTRACT. We prove that the handlebody subgroup of the Torelli group of an orientable surface is generated by genus one BP-maps. As an application, we give a normal generating set for the handlebody subgroup of the level d mapping class group of an orientable surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H_g be an oriented 3-dimensional handlebody of genus g and let D_0 be a disk on the boundary $\Sigma_g = \partial H_g$ of H_g . We fix a model of H_g and D_0 as in Figure 1 and set $\Sigma_{g,1} := \Sigma_g - \text{int} D_0$. The *mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving self-diffeomorphisms on Σ_g fixing D_0 pointwise and the *handlebody group* $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ which consists of elements that extend to H_g .

For a simple closed curve c on $\Sigma_{g,1}$, denote by t_c the right-handed Dehn twist along c . A pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of simple closed curves c_1 and c_2 on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is a *bounding pair (BP)* on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ if c_1 and c_2 are disjoint, non-isotopic and their integral homology classes are non-trivial and the same. A BP $\{c_1, c_2\}$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is a *genus- h bounding pair (genus- h BP)* on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ if the union of c_1 and c_2 bounds a subsurface of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ of genus h with two boundary components. For a BP (resp. genus- h BP) $\{c_1, c_2\}$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$, we call $t_{c_1} t_{c_2}^{-1}$ a *BP-map* (resp. *genus- h BP-map*).

The *Torelli group* $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is the kernel of a homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the action of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ on the integral first homology group $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Genus- h BP-maps are elements of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. For a group G , a normal subgroup H of G and elements $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ of H , H is *normally generated in G by $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$* if H is the normal closure of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ in G . By an argument of Powell [14], $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ by a genus-1 BP-map and Dehn twists along separating simple closed curves (actually, Powell proved that the Torelli group of a closed oriented surface is generated by genus-1 BP-maps and Dehn twists along separating simple closed curves by using Birman's finite presentation [3] for the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$). Johnson showed that $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ by a genus-1 BP-map in [7] and gave an explicit finite generating set for $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ in [8]. A smaller finite generating set for $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ is given by Putman [15].

Denote by $\mathcal{V}(3)$ the set of diffeomorphism classes of connected closed oriented 3-manifolds and by $\mathcal{S}(3)$ the set of diffeomorphism classes of integral homology 3-spheres. Let H'_g be a 3-dimensional handlebody of genus g such that $\partial H'_g = \Sigma_g$ and the union $H_g \cup H'_g$ is diffeomorphic to the 3-sphere S^3 , and let $\mathcal{H}'_{g,1}$ be the subgroup

Date: July 25, 2016.

of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ which consists of elements that extend to H'_g . For each $f \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$, we denote by M_f the closed oriented 3-manifold obtained by gluing the disjoint union of H_g and H'_g along f . We regard $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ as a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g+1,1}$ by a natural injective stabilization map $\mathcal{M}_{g,1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g+1,1}$. Then we have a bijection

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,1} / \mathcal{H}'_{g,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}(3)$$

by $[f]$ to M_f (see for instance [2]). The above bijection induces the following bijection [12]:

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \setminus \mathcal{I}_{g,1} / \mathcal{H}'_{g,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(3).$$

Hence any integral homology 3-sphere is represented by an element of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_{g,1}$ are not subgroups of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$, and for $f, h \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$, $[f] = [h] \in \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \setminus \mathcal{I}_{g,1} / \mathcal{H}'_{g,1}$ means there exist elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ and $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}'_{g,1}$ such that $h = \varphi f \varphi' \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$. We denote by $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{IH}'_{g,1}$) the intersection of $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}'_{g,1}$). Pitsch [13] gave the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([13]). *For $f, h \in \mathcal{I}_{g,1}$, $[f] = [h] \in \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \setminus \mathcal{I}_{g,1} / \mathcal{H}'_{g,1}$ if and only if there exist elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$, $\varphi' \in \mathcal{IH}'_{g,1}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \cap \mathcal{H}'_{g,1}$ such that*

$$h = \psi \varphi f \varphi' \psi^{-1}.$$

For these reasons, it is important for the classification of integral homology 3-spheres to give a simple generating set for $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$.

For a genus- h BP $\{c_1, c_2\}$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$, $\{c_1, c_2\}$ is a *genus- h homotopical bounding pair* (genus- h HBP) on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ if each c_i ($i = 1, 2$) doesn't bound a disk on H_g and the disjoint union $c_1 \sqcup c_2$ bounds an annulus on H_g . We remark that such an annulus is unique up to isotopy by the irreducibility of H_g . For example, a pair $\{C_1, C_2\}$ of simple closed curves C_1 and C_2 on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ as in Figure 1 is a genus-1 HBP on $\Sigma_{g,1}$. For a genus- h HBP $\{c_1, c_2\}$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$, we call $t_{c_1} t_{c_2}^{-1}$ a *genus- h HBP-map*. Hence $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ is a genus- h HBP-map. Remark that genus- h HBP-maps are elements of $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$. The main theorem in this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$. In particular, for $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$ is generated by genus-1 HBP-maps.*

We prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 2.1. In Section 2.2, we give a necessary and sufficient condition that a genus-1 HBP-map is conjugate to $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$.

For $d \geq 2$, we define $\mathbb{Z}_d := \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The *level d mapping class group* $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}[d]$ is the kernel of a homomorphism $\Psi_d : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ induced by the action of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z}_d)$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$ the intersection of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}[d]$ and $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$. Let $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_g$ and C'_2 be simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ as in Figure 1. Each of $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_g$ bounds a disk in H_g . We define $\alpha := t_{C_1} t_{C'_2}^{-1}$ and denote by ω the diffeomorphism on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ which is described as the result of the half rotation of the first handle of H_g as in Figure 2. Note that $\alpha^d, t_{D_i}^d$ ($i = 1, \dots, g$), and a genus- h HBP-maps are elements of $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$ and ω is an element of $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[2]$. As an application of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following theorem. The proof is given in Section 3.1.

Theorem 1.3. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[2]$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by $\omega, t_{D_1}^2$ and $t_{C_1} t_{C'_2}^{-1}$.*

For $g \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by $\alpha^d, t_{D_1}^d$ and $t_{C_1} t_{C'_2}^{-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{I}(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ (resp. $\Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$) be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Aut } H_1(H_g; \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Aut } H_1(H_g; \mathbb{Z}_d)$). As a corollary of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 1.4. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{I}(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by t_{D_1} and $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$.*

For $g \geq 3$, $\Gamma_2(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by ω , t_{D_1} and $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$.

For $g \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, $\Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by α^d , t_{D_1} and $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$.

We prove Corollary 1.4 in Section 4.1. Luft [9] proved that $\mathcal{I}(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by disk twists and a map whose action on the fundamental group of H_g is the same as the action of $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$. An action of α^2 on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ is non-trivial, however, an action of a BP-map on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. As a corollary of Corollary 1.4, we also have the following corollary. The proof is given in Section 4.2.

Corollary 1.5. *For $g \geq 3$, $\Gamma_2(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by ω , t_{D_1} and α^2 .*




FIGURE 1. The model of H_g and simple closed curves $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_g$, C_1 , C_2 and C'_2 on $\Sigma_{g,1}$.




FIGURE 2. The element ω of $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$.

2. GENERATORS FOR THE HANDLEBODY SUBGROUP OF THE TORELLI GROUP

2.1. Proof of main theorem. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. Let x_0 be a point of ∂D_0 and let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_g$ be generators for the fundamental group $\pi_1(H_g, x_0)$ of Σ_g represented by loops on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ based at x_0 as in Figure 3. We identify $\pi_1(H_g, x_0)$ with the free group F_g of rank g by the generators. Since $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ acts on $\pi_1(H_g, x_0) = F_g$, we have a homomorphism $\eta : \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \rightarrow \text{Aut } F_g$. Griffiths [5]

showed that η is surjective. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_{g,1}$ the kernel of η . Luft [9] proved that $\mathcal{L}_{g,1}$ is generated by disk twists. Then we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{g,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Aut} F_g \longrightarrow 1.$$

The *IA*-subgroup IA_g of $\text{Aut} F_g$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $\text{Aut} F_g \rightarrow$




FIGURE 3. Generators $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_g$ for $\pi_1(H_g, x_0)$.

$\text{Aut} \mathbb{Z} \cong \text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the abelianization of F_g . Remark that the image $\eta(\mathcal{IH}_{g,1})$ of $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$ is included in IA_g . We define an element C_{v_1, v_2} of IA_g by $C_{v_1, v_2}(v_1) := v_2 v_1 v_2^{-1}$ and $C_{v_1, v_2}(v_k) := v_k$ for $k = 2, \dots, g$. Magnus [10] proved the following theorem (see also [4]).

Theorem 2.1 ([10]). *For $g \geq 2$, IA_g is normally generated in $\text{Aut}(F_g)$ by C_{v_1, v_2} .*

Since $\eta(t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}) = C_{v_1, v_2}$ and η is surjective, we have $\eta(\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}) = \text{IA}_g$. Denote by $\mathcal{IL}_{g,1}$ the kernel of the homomorphism $\eta|_{\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}}$. $\mathcal{IL}_{g,1}$ is called the *Luft-Torelli group* in [13]. Then we have the exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{IL}_{g,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{IH}_{g,1} \xrightarrow{\eta|_{\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}}} \text{IA}_g \longrightarrow 1.$$

A BP (resp. genus- h BP) $\{c_1, c_2\}$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is a *contractible bounding pair (CBP)* (resp. *genus- h contractible bounding pair (genus- h CBP)*) if each c_i ($i = 1, 2$) bounds a disk in H_g . For example, $\{D_2, D'_2\}$ is a genus-1 CBP on $\Sigma_{g,1}$, where D'_2 is a simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ as in Figure 4. For a CBP (resp. genus- h CBP) $\{c_1, c_2\}$ on $\Sigma_{g,1}$, we call $t_{c_1} t_{c_2}^{-1}$ a *CBP-map* (genus- h CBP-map). CBP-maps are elements of $\mathcal{IL}_{g,1}$. Pitsch [13] proved the following theorem.




FIGURE 4. Simple closed curve D'_2 on $\Sigma_{g,1}$.

Theorem 2.2 ([13]). *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{IL}_{g,1}$ is generated by CBP-maps.*

By Johnson's argument [7], this theorem is improved as follows.

Proposition 2.3. *For $g \geq 3$, $\mathcal{IL}_{g,1}$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by a genus-1 CBP-map.*

Proof. Let $\{c_1, c_2\}$ be a genus- h CBP on $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each c_i ($i = 1, 2$) doesn't intersect with D_0 . Take proper disks d_1 and d_2 in H_g such that $\partial d_i = c_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By cutting H_g along $d_1 \sqcup d_2$, we obtain a handlebody H of genus h which doesn't include D_0 . Then there exist proper disjoint disks $d_1 = e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{h+1} = d_2$ in H such that the result of cutting H along $e_1 \sqcup e_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup e_{h+1}$ is a disjoint union of h handlebodies of genus 1, e_i and e_{i+1} lie on a boundary of the same component for $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$, and e_i and e_j don't lie on the same component for $|i - j| > 1$ (see Figure 5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{c_1} t_{c_2}^{-1} &= t_{\partial e_1} t_{\partial e_{h+1}}^{-1} \\ &= (t_{\partial e_1} t_{\partial e_2}^{-1})(t_{\partial e_2} t_{\partial e_3}^{-1}) \cdots (t_{\partial e_{h-1}} t_{\partial e_h}^{-1})(t_{\partial e_h} t_{\partial e_{h+1}}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since each $t_{\partial e_i} t_{\partial e_{i+1}}^{-1}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, h$) is a genus-1 CBP-map, $t_{c_1} t_{c_2}^{-1}$ is a product of genus-1 CBP-maps. We get Proposition 2.3. $\square$




FIGURE 5. Disks $d_1 = e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{h+1} = d_2$ on H .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the exact sequence (2.1) and Proposition 2.3, $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ and $t_{D_2} t_{D_2'}^{-1}$. Hence it is enough for the proof of Theorem 1.2 to show that $t_{D_2} t_{D_2'}^{-1}$ is a product of conjugations of $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$. Since $(t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1})^{-1}(D_2) = D_2'$, we have


$$\begin{aligned} t_{D_2} t_{D_2'}^{-1} &= t_{D_2} \cdot (t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1})^{-1} t_{D_2}^{-1} (t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}) \\ &= t_{D_2} (t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1})^{-1} t_{D_2}^{-1} \cdot t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

Remark 2.4. The last relation

$$t_{D_2} t_{D_2'}^{-1} = t_{D_2} (t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1})^{-1} t_{D_2}^{-1} \cdot t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$$

in the proof of Theorem 1.2 has the following geometric meaning. Let E_1 be a separating disk in H_g as in Figure 6. Then we can regard $t_{D_2} t_{D_2'}^{-1}$, $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ and $t_{D_2} (t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1})^{-1} t_{D_2}^{-1}$ as pushing maps of E_1 along simple loops on the boundary of the closure of the complement of the first 1-handle. $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ is obtained from the pushing map along γ_1 and $t_{D_2} (t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1})^{-1} t_{D_2}^{-1}$ is obtained from the pushing map along γ_2 as in Figure 6. The above relation means a product of pushing maps along simple loops which intersect transversely once is equal to the pushing map along the product of these loops.

FIGURE 6. Disk E_1 in H_g and loops γ_1 and γ_2 .

2.2. A Condition for conjugations of genus-1 HBP-maps in the handlebody group. In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition that a genus-1 HBP-map is conjugate to $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$. For proper disks $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_g$ in $H_g - \text{int}D_0$, the pair $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_g\}$ is a *meridian disk system* if each d_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, g$) is non-separating and we obtain a 3-ball by cutting H_g along $d_1 \sqcup d_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup d_g$. For example, $\{\overline{D}_1, \overline{D}_2, \dots, \overline{D}_g\}$ is a meridian disk system, where $\overline{D}_1, \overline{D}_2, \dots, \overline{D}_g$ are disks in H_g whose boundary components are $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_g$ as in Figure 1, respectively. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\{c_1, c_2\}$ be a genus-1 HBP on $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Then $t_{c_1}t_{c_2}^{-1}$ is conjugate to $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ if and only if there exist a properly embedded annulus A in H_g whose boundary is $c_1 \sqcup c_2$ and a meridian disk system $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_g\}$ such that $d_2, \dots, d_g$ are disjoint from A and the intersection of d_1 and A is an arc which doesn't separate A .*

Proof. We suppose that $t_{c_1}t_{c_2}^{-1}$ is conjugate to $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $f : H_g \rightarrow H_g$ such that the restriction $f|_{D_0}$ is identity map on D_0 and $f(c_i) = C_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). By Figure 1, there exists a properly embedded annulus A_0 in H_g whose boundary is $C_1 \sqcup C_2$ such that the intersection of $\overline{D}_2$ and A_0 is an arc which doesn't separate A_0 and $\overline{D}_1, \overline{D}_3, \dots, \overline{D}_g$ are disjoint from A_0 . Thus $A := f(A_0)$, $d_1 := f(\overline{D}_2)$, $d_2 := f(\overline{D}_1)$, $d_3 := f(\overline{D}_3)$, $\dots$, $d_g := f(\overline{D}_g)$ satisfy the condition above. We have proved the “only if” part of the proposition.

We suppose that there exist a properly embedded annulus A in H_g whose boundary is $c_1 \sqcup c_2$ and a meridian disk system $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_g\}$ such that $d_2, \dots, d_g$ are disjoint from A and the intersection of d_1 and A is an arc δ which doesn't separate A . Note that the arc δ separates d_1 into two disks e' and e'' in H_g . Let B be a 3-ball which is obtained by cutting H_g along $d_1 \sqcup d_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup d_g$. Since δ doesn't separate A , the image $\overline{A}$ of A in B is a proper disk in B . Hence $\overline{A}$ separates B into 3-balls B' and B'' . Without loss of generality, we can assume that the copies e'_1 and e'_2 of e' and copies $d_{i_0,1}$ and $d_{i_0,2}$ of d_{i_0} are included in B' for some $i_0 \in \{2, \dots, g\}$, and the copies e''_1 and e''_2 of e'' and the copies $d_{i,1}$ and $d_{i,2}$ of d_i are included in B'' for any $i \in \{2, \dots, g\} - \{i_0\}$ since $\{c_1, c_2\}$ is a genus-1 HBP on $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Denote by $\overline{A}'$ and $\overline{A}''$ the images of $\overline{A}$ in B' and B'' , respectively.

Let V' and V'' be the handlebodies which obtained by cutting H_g along A_0 such that V' is diffeomorphic to H_2 and V'' is diffeomorphic to H_{g-1} and let B_0, B'_0 and B''_0 be the 3-balls which obtained by cutting H_g, V' and V'' along $\overline{D}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \overline{D}_g$, respectively. Denote by $\overline{A}'_0$ and $\overline{A}''_0$ the disks on $\partial B'_0$ and $\partial B''_0$ which are obtained from A , by $\overline{D}_{j,1}$ and $\overline{D}_{j,2}$ are copies of disk $\overline{D}_j$ on ∂B_0 for $j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and by

$e'_{0,k}$ and $e''_{0,k}$ the disks on $\partial B'_0$ and $\partial B''_0$ which are obtained from $\overline{D}_{2,k}$ by cutting $\overline{D}_{2,k}$ along A_0 for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, respectively. For $j \in \{1, 3, \dots, g\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$, we regard $\overline{D}_{j,k}$ as a disk in $\partial B'_0 \sqcup \partial B''_0$. Since the isotopy classes of $\overline{A}'$ and $\overline{A}''$ in B' and B'' (resp. $\overline{A}'_0$ and $\overline{A}''_0$ in B'_0 and B''_0) fixed e'_k, e''_k and $d_{i,k}$ ($i \in \{2, \dots, g\}$, $k \in \{1, 2\}$) (resp. $e'_{0,k}, e''_{0,k}$ and $\overline{D}_{j,k}$ ($j \in \{1, 3, \dots, g\}$, $k \in \{1, 2\}$)) depend on the isotopy classes of arcs which obtained from the center line of A (resp. A_0), there exist orientation preserving diffeomorphisms $f' : B' \rightarrow B'_0$ and $f'' : B'' \rightarrow B''_0$ such that $f'(\overline{A}') = \overline{A}'_0$, $f''(\overline{A}'') = \overline{A}''_0$, the restriction $f''|_{D_0}$ is the identity map and f' and f'' are compatible with regluing of B', B'', B'_0, B''_0 along $d_{i,k}, e'_k, e''_k, \overline{D}_{j,k}, e'_{0,k}$ and $e''_{0,k}$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, g\}$, $j \in \{1, 3, \dots, g\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Such diffeomorphisms induce the diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} : H_g \rightarrow H_g$ such that $\tilde{f}(A) = A_0$ and $\tilde{f}|_{D_0} = \text{id}_{D_0}$. Thus $t_{c_1} t_{c_2}^{-1}$ is conjugate to $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ and we have completed the proof of this proposition. $\square$

Let C_1^m and C_2^m be simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ as in Figure 7 for $m \geq 2$. Since the union $C_1^m \sqcup C_2^m$ bounds an annulus A_m in H_g which intersects with $\overline{D}_1$ at m proper arcs in $\overline{D}_1$ as in Figure 7, $\{C_1^m, C_2^m\}$ is a genus-1 HBP on $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Note that such an annulus is unique up to isotopy by the irreducibility of H_g . Then we show that $t_{C_1^m} t_{C_2^m}^{-1}$ is not conjugate to $t_{C_1} t_{C_2}^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by Proposition 2.5 and the next proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *For $m \geq 2$, there does not exist a proper disk D in H_g which transversely intersects with A_m at a proper arc in D and separates A_m into a disk.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a proper disk D in H_g which transversely intersects with A_m at a proper arc in D and separates A_m into a disk. Denote by δ the proper arc in D . For proper disks d and d' in H_g whose intersection is disjoint union of proper arcs in d' , we obtain disks $\tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2, \dots, \tilde{d}_n$ in H_g from the disk d by cutting d along d' . Then there exist disks $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ in d' such that $\tilde{d}_1 \cup e_1, \tilde{d}_2 \cup e_2, \dots, \tilde{d}_n \cup e_n$ are proper disks in H_g and each $\tilde{d}_i \cup e_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is isotopic to a proper disk d_i in H_g which doesn't intersect with d' and the other d_j . We call the operation which gives disjoint disks $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ from the disk d the *surgery* on d along d' .

By the irreducibility of H_g , we can assume that the intersection of D and $\overline{D}_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \overline{D}_g$ is a disjoint union of proper arcs in $\overline{D}_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \overline{D}_g$. Let $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ be proper disks in H_g which are obtained from D by the surgery on D along $\overline{D}_2, \dots, \overline{D}_g$ and let V be the solid torus which obtained from H_g by cutting H_g along $\overline{D}_2, \dots, \overline{D}_g$. Since $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, \overline{D}_1, A_m$ and δ don't intersect $\overline{D}_2, \dots, \overline{D}_g$, we regard $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, \overline{D}_1, A_m$ and δ as proper disks, a proper annulus and a proper arc in V . Note that the intersection of A_m and $\overline{D}_1$ in V is not a single arc up to ambient isotopy of V (see Figure 8). Then there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that the proper disk d_{i_0} in V intersects with A_m at the arc δ . Since $\partial d_{i_0} \subset \partial V$ transversely intersects with each C_k^m ($k = 1, 2$) at one point, d_{i_0} is a non-separating disk in V . Hence d_{i_0} is isotopic to $\overline{D}_1$ in V by forgetting the copies of $\overline{D}_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \overline{D}_g$ throughout the isotopy. This is a contradiction to the fact that the intersection of A_m and $\overline{D}_1$ in V is not a single arc. We have completed the proof of this proposition. $\square$

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3.




FIGURE 7. Simple closed curves C_1^m and C_2^m in $\Sigma_{g,1}$ which bound an annulus A_m in H_g .




FIGURE 8. Annulus A_m and the disk $\overline{D}_1$ in the solid torus V . We express the copies of $\overline{D}_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \overline{D}_g$ by the holes on ∂V .

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Take a symplectic basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ for $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ as in Figure 9. The symplectic group is $\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) = \{X \in \mathrm{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^t X J_{2g} X = J_{2g}\}$, where $J_{2g} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and I_g is the identity matrix of rank g . We define


$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{urSp}(2g) &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \mid C = 0 \right\} \cap \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & {}^t A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} A \text{ is unimodular,} \\ A^{-1}B \text{ is symmetric} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The notation $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)$ was introduced by Hirose [6]. The last equation and the next lemma is obtained from an argument in Section 2 of [2]. Recall the homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the action of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ on $H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 3.1 ([2]). $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_{g,1}) = \mathrm{urSp}(2g)$.

We review the next well-known lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G , H and Q be groups and let $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ and $\psi : H \rightarrow Q$ be homomorphisms. We take a generating set X for $\ker \psi|_{\varphi(G)} \subset H$ and a lift $\tilde{X} \subset G$ of X with respect to φ . Then $\ker \psi \circ \varphi$ is generated by $\ker \varphi$ and $\tilde{X}$.*


 FIGURE 9. Basis for the first homology group of $\Sigma_{g,1}$.

Let $\Phi_d : \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ be the homomorphism induced by the natural projection $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_d$ for $d \geq 2$. Then we define

$$\mathrm{urSp}(2g)[d] := \ker \Phi_d|_{\mathrm{urSp}(2g)} \subset \mathrm{urSp}(2g).$$

For distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, denote by $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ the $(g \times g)$ -matrix whose (i, j) -entry is 1 and the other entries are 0, by $S_{i,j}$ the $(g \times g)$ -matrix whose (i, j) -entry and (j, i) -entry are 1 and the other entries are 0 and by $S_{i,i}$ the $(g \times g)$ -matrix whose (i, i) -entry is 1 and the other entries are 0. Then we define $E_{i,j} := I_g + \mathcal{E}_{i,j}$, $F_i := I_g - 2S_{i,i}$ for distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ and

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,j} &:= \begin{pmatrix} E_{i,j} & 0 \\ 0 & -E_{j,i} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for distinct } 1 \leq i, j \leq g, \\ Y_{i,j} &:= \begin{pmatrix} I_g & S_{i,j} \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq g, \\ Z_i &:= \begin{pmatrix} F_i & 0 \\ 0 & F_i \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq g. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_{i,j}$ and $Y_{i,j}$ are elements of $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)$, Z_i is an element of $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)[2]$, and $X_{i,j}^d$ and $Y_{i,i}^d$ are elements of $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)[d]$ for $d \geq 2$. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *For $g \geq 1$, $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)[2]$ is normally generated in $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)$ by $Y_{1,1}^2$ and Z_1 .*

For $g \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)[d]$ is normally generated in $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)$ by $X_{1,2}^d$ and $Y_{1,1}^d$.

We prove Proposition 3.3 in Section 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$, $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$ is the kernel of the composition of $\Psi : \mathcal{H}_{g,1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\Phi_d : \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$. We apply Lemma 3.2 to these homomorphisms. Since $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_{g,1}) = \mathrm{urSp}(2g)$, by Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$ is generated by $\ker \Psi = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ and a lift of a generating set for $\ker \Phi_d|_{\mathrm{urSp}(2g)} = \mathrm{urSp}(2g)[d]$. We can check $\Psi(\alpha) = X_{1,2}$, $\Psi(t_{D_1}) = Y_{1,1}$, $\Psi(\omega) = Z_1$ and conjugations of $X_{1,2}$, $Y_{1,1}$ and Z_1 in $\mathrm{urSp}(2g)$ lift conjugations of α , t_{D_1} and ω in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ with respect to Ψ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.3, $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[2]$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by ω , $t_{D_1}^2$ and a genus-1 HBP-map, and $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by α^d , $t_{D_1}^d$ and a genus-1 HBP-map for $g \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$. We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\square$

3.2. A normal generating set for $\text{urSp}(2g)[d]$. In this section, we give a proof of Proposition 3.3. The *level d principal congruence subgroup* $\Gamma_d(g)$ (resp. $\text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z})[d]$) of $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $\text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$) is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ (resp. $\text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$). For $g \geq 1$, $\Gamma_2(g)$ is generated by $E_{i,j}^2$ and F_i for distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ (see for instance [11]). In particular, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For $g \geq 1$, $\Gamma_2(g)$ is normally generated in $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ by F_1 .*

To prove Lemma 3.4, we prepare the following easy lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *For distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, each $E_{i,j}$ is conjugate to $E_{1,2}$ in $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$.*

proof of Lemma 3.4. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

each F_i is conjugate to F_1 in $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma 3.5, it is enough for the proof of Lemma 3.4 to show that $E_{1,2}^2$ is a product of conjugations of F_1 in $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $E_{1,2} F_1 E_{1,2}^{-1} \cdot F_1 = E_{1,2}^2$. Therefore we get Lemma 3.4. $\square$

We note that $\Gamma_d(g) = \text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z})[d]$ for $d \geq 3$. Bass-Milnor-Serre [1] gave a generating set for $\text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z})[d]$ as follows.

Theorem 3.6 ([1], see also [16]). *For $g \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, $\text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z})[d] = \Gamma_d(g)$ is normally generated in $\text{SL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ by $E_{i,j}^d$ for distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$.*

By Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *For $g \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$, $\Gamma_d(g)$ is normally generated in $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ by $E_{1,2}^d$.*

We define the normal subgroup

$$\mathcal{S}_g := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_g & B \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} \mid B \text{ is symmetric} \right\}$$

of $\text{urSp}(2g)$ and the kernel $\mathcal{S}_g[d]$ of the homomorphism $\Phi_d|_{\mathcal{S}_g} : \mathcal{S}_g \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$. Note that each $Y_{i,j}$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_g$, each $Y_{i,j}^d$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_g[d]$ and $\mathcal{S}_g$ is an abelian group since

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_g & B \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_g & B' \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & B+B' \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *For $g \geq 1$, $\mathcal{S}_g$ is normally generated in $\text{urSp}(2g)$ by $Y_{1,1}$.*

Since $\mathcal{S}_g$ is abelian and $\mathcal{S}_g[d]$ is generated by $Y_{i,j}^d$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, we have the following corollary of Lemma 3.8.

Corollary 3.9. *For $g \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$, $\mathcal{S}_g[d]$ is normally generated in $\text{urSp}(2g)$ by $Y_{1,1}^d$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.8. Since $\mathcal{S}_g$ is generated by $Y_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, it is enough for the proof of Lemma 3.8 to show that each $Y_{i,j}$ is a product of conjugations of $Y_{1,1}$ in $\text{urSp}(2g)$. Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_g & B \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_g & AB^tA \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define $A_{i,j} := I_g + S_{i,j} - S_{i,i} - S_{j,j} \in GL(g, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$\tilde{A}_{i,j} := \begin{pmatrix} A_{i,j} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{i,j} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{urSp}(2g)$$

for distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$. We remark that $A_{i,j} = {}^tA_{i,j} = A_{i,j}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{A}_{i,j} = \tilde{A}_{i,j}^{-1}$. Since $A_{1,i}S_{i,j}A_{1,i} = S_{1,j}$ and $A_{2,j}S_{1,j}A_{2,j} = S_{1,2}$ for distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$, we have $\tilde{A}_{1,i}Y_{i,j}\tilde{A}_{1,i} = Y_{1,j}$ and $\tilde{A}_{2,j}Y_{1,j}\tilde{A}_{2,j} = Y_{1,2}$. Hence each $Y_{i,j}$ is conjugate to $Y_{1,2}$ in $\text{urSp}(2g)$ for distinct $1 \leq i, j \leq g$.

Since $A_{1,i}S_{i,i}A_{1,i} = S_{1,1}$, we have $\tilde{A}_{1,i}Y_{i,i}\tilde{A}_{1,i} = Y_{1,1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$. Thus it is enough for the proof of Lemma 3.8 to show that each $Y_{1,2}$ is a product of conjugations of $Y_{1,1}$ in $\text{urSp}(2g)$. We can check $Y_{1,1}^{-1} \cdot X_{2,1}Y_{1,1}X_{2,1}^{-1} \cdot Y_{2,2}^{-1} = Y_{1,2}$ and we get Lemma 3.8. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. For each $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & {}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{urSp}(2g)[d]$, A is unimodular and $A \equiv I_g$ modulo d . The condition means $A \in \Gamma_d(g)$. For $g \geq 3$ (resp. $g = 2$), by Lemma 3.7 (resp. Lemma 3.4), there exists a product X' of conjugations of $E_{1,2}^d$ (resp. F_1) in $\Gamma_d(g)$ such that $A = X'$. Then $\tilde{X}' := \begin{pmatrix} X' & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t(X')^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{urSp}(2g)[d]$ is a product of conjugations of $X_{1,2}^d$ (resp. Z_1) in $\text{urSp}(2g)$ for $d \geq 3$ (resp. $d = 2$). Since $A(X')^{-1} = I_g$, $X\tilde{X}'^{-1}$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_g[d]$. By Corollary 3.9, there exist a product Y of conjugations of $Y_{1,1}^d$ in $\text{urSp}(2g)$ such that $X\tilde{X}'^{-1} = Y$. We have $X = Y\tilde{X}'$ and we have completed the proof of Proposition 3.3. $\square$

4. PROOF OF COROLLARIES

In this section, we prove Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

4.1. Proof of Corollary 1.4. For $d \geq 2$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{urSp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d) &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & {}^tA^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d) \mid \begin{array}{l} A \text{ is unimodular,} \\ A^{-1}B \text{ is symmetric} \end{array} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_g(d) &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_g & B \\ 0 & I_g \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d) \mid B \text{ is symmetric} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we define $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[1] := \mathcal{IH}_{g,1}$, $\Gamma_1(H_g \text{ rel } D_0) := \mathcal{I}(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$, $\text{urSp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_1) := \text{urSp}(2g)$, $\mathcal{S}_g(1) := \mathcal{S}_g$ and $\Psi_1 := \Psi$. By an argument similar to that in Section 2 of [2], Lemma 3.1 is generalized into the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. For $d \geq 1$, $\Psi_d(\mathcal{H}_{g,1}) = \text{urSp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Assume $d \geq 1$ and $g \geq 3$. For $f \in \Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$, by the definition, $\Psi_d(f)$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_g(d)$. Since t_{D_1} is an element of $\Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ and $\mathcal{S}_g(d)$ is normally generated in $\text{urSp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ by $\Phi_d(Y_{1,1})$ by an argument similar

to that in the proof of Lemma 3.8, we have $\Psi_d(\Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)) = \mathcal{S}_g(d)$. Hence we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d] \longrightarrow \Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0) \xrightarrow{\Psi_d|_{\Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)}} \mathcal{S}_g(d) \longrightarrow 1.$$

By the exact sequence, $\Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is generated by $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}[d]$ and conjugations of t_{D_1} in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, $\mathcal{I}(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by t_{D_1} and $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$, $\Gamma_2(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by ω , t_{D_1} and $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$ and $\Gamma_d(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by α^d , t_{D_1} and $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$ for $d \geq 3$. We have completed the proof of Corollary 1.4. $\square$

4.2. Proof of Corollary 1.5.

Let D_2'' be a simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ as in Figure 10. Note that D_2'' bounds a disk in H_g .

Proof of Corollary 1.5. By Corollary 1.4, $\Gamma_2(H_g \text{ rel } D_0)$ is normally generated in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ by ω , t_{D_1} and $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$ for $g \geq 3$. Hence it is sufficient for the proof of Corollary 1.5 to prove that $t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$ is a product of conjugations of ω , t_{D_1} and α^2 in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$. Recall that $\alpha = t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1}$.

Define $f := t_{D_2}t_{D_2''}^{-1}\omega^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{g,1}$. We remark that t_{D_2} and $t_{D_2''}$ are conjugate to t_{D_1} in $\mathcal{H}_{g,1}$ since D_2 and D_2'' bound non-separating proper disks in H_g . We can check that $f(C_1) = C_2'$ and $f(C_2') = C_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_{C_1}t_{C_2}^{-1} &= t_{C_1}t_{C_2'}^{-1} \cdot t_{C_2'}t_{C_2}^{-1} \\ &= \alpha \cdot f(t_{C_1}t_{C_2'}^{-1})f^{-1} \\ &= \alpha^2 \cdot \alpha^{-1}f\alpha \cdot f^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Corollary 1.5. $\square$




FIGURE 10. Simple closed curve D_2'' on $\Sigma_{g,1}$.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to express his gratitude to Hisaaki Endo, for his encouragement and helpful advices. The author also wishes to thank Susumu Hirose and Wolfgang Pitsch for their comments and helpful advices. The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 15J10066.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass and J. Milnor and J.-P. Serre, *Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for SL_n ($n \geq 3$) and Sp_{2n} ($n \geq 2$)*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. **33** (1967), 59–137.
- [2] J. S. Birman, *On the equivalence of Heegaard splittings of closed, orientable 3-manifolds. Knots, groups, and 3-manifolds* (Papers dedicated to the memory of R. H. Fox), Ann. of Math. Studies, No. **84**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1975, pp. 137–164.

- [3] J. S. Birman, *On Siegel's modular group*, Math. Ann. **191** (1971), 59–68.
- [4] M. Day and A. Putman, *The complex of partial bases for F_n and finite generation of the Torelli subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$* , Geom. Dedicata **164** (2013), 139–153.
- [5] H. B. Griffiths, *Automorphisms of a 3-dimensional handlebody*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **26** (1964), 191–210.
- [6] S. Hirose, *The action of the handlebody group on the first homology group of the surface*, Kyungpook Math. J. **46** (2006), no. 3, 399–408.
- [7] D. L. Johnson, *Homeomorphisms of a surface which act trivially on homology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1979), no. 1, 119–125.
- [8] D. L. Johnson, *The structure of the Torelli group. I. A finite set of generators for $\mathcal{I}$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **118** (1983), no. 3, 423–442.
- [9] E. Luft, *Actions of the homeotopy group of an orientable 3-dimensional handlebody*, Math. Ann. **234** (1978), no. 3, 279–292.
- [10] W. Magnus, *Über n -dimensionale Gittertransformationen*, (German) Acta Math. **64** (1935), no. 1, 353–367.
- [11] J. D. McCarthy and U. Pinkall, *Representing homology automorphisms of nonorientable surfaces*, Max Planck Inst. Preprint MPI/SFB 85-11, revised version written on 26 Feb 2004, available from <http://www.math.msu.edu/~mccarthy/publications/selected.papers.html>.
- [12] S. Morita, *Casson's invariant for homology 3-spheres and characteristic classes of surface bundles. I*, Topology **28** (1989), no. 3, 305–323.
- [13] W. Pitsch, *Trivial cocycles and invariants of homology 3-spheres*, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), no. 1, 278–302.
- [14] J. Powell, *Two theorems on the mapping class group of a surface*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1978), no. 3, 347–350.
- [15] A. Putman, *Small generating sets for the Torelli group*, Geom. Topol. **16** (2012), no. 1, 111–125.
- [16] A. Putman, *The congruence subgroup problem for $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$* , Note available from <http://www.math.rice.edu/~andyp/notes/>.

(GENKI OMORI) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

E-mail address: omori.g.aa@m.titech.ac.jp